| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/762,906 | DELUCA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sabiha Qazi | 1616 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Sabiha Qazi</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Thomas Wozny</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 7 September 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | • |
| Claims discussed: | • | • |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner spoke to Mr. Wozny about rejoining the method claims because compound claim is allowable. Mr. Wozny told the Examiner that Applicants would like to get the patent as filed and do not want to join them. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | , |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |